


# University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences vs. IPEDS Comparison Group

## Percent Distribution of Core Revenues by Source - FY2008 thru FY2015

Revenue Sources		FY 08	FY 09	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15
Tuition and Fees	UAMS	6	7	7	7	6	8	9	13
	COMP	6	7	6	8	10	9	9	9
	(diff)	0	0	1	-1	-4	-1	0	4
State Appropriations	UAMS	14	15	19	15	10	14	15	7
	COMP	27	31	28	28	27	27	20	20
	(diff)	-13	-16	-9	-13	-17	-13	-5	-13
Government Grants and Contracts	UAMS	41	49	35	36	41	33	31	29
	COMP	41	33	23	24	25	23	22	23
	(diff)	0	16	12	12	16	10	9	6
Other Core Revenue	UAMS	39	29	14	16	22	19	13	18
	COMP	27	17	15	18	18	19	23	24
	(diff)	12	12	-1	-2	4	0	-10	-6
Investment Return*	UAMS			5	5	2	4	6	3
	COMP			2	2	1	2	3	0
	(diff)			3	3	1	2	3	3
Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts*	UAMS			20	21	18	23	25	30
	COMP			8	7	7	8	7	10
	(diff)			12	14	11	15	18	20

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as UAMS. COMP = Comparison Group Institutions, n = 24 (FY07 to FY10), n = 25 (FY11), n=26 (FY12), n=24 (FY13), n=22 (FY14 and FY15). \*Investment Return and Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts were not reported prior to FY10.

### Percent of Core Revenue from State Appropriations, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY08 thru FY15



### Percent of Core Revenue from Government Grants and Contracts, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY08 thru FY15

