


University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences vs. IPEDS Comparison Group

Percent Distribution of Core Revenues by Source - FY2009 thru FY2017

Revenue Sources		FY 09	FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15	FY16	FY17
Tuition and Fees	UAMS	7	7	7	6	8	9	13	15	14
	COMP	7	6	8	10	9	9	9	9	9
	(diff)	0	1	-1	-4	-1	0	4	6	5
State Appropriations	UAMS	15	19	15	10	14	15	7	3	10
	COMP	31	28	28	27	27	20	20	21	19
	(diff)	-16	-9	-13	-17	-13	-5	-13	-18	-9
Government Grants and Contracts	UAMS	49	35	36	41	33	31	29	41	32
	COMP	33	23	24	25	23	22	23	21	20
	(diff)	16	12	12	16	10	9	6	20	12
Other Core Revenue	UAMS	29	14	16	22	19	13	18	20	28
	COMP	17	15	18	18	19	23	24	22	18
	(diff)	12	-1	-2	4	0	-10	-6	-2	10
Investment Return*	UAMS		5	5	2	4	6	3	-2	7
	COMP		2	2	1	2	3	0	1	4
	(diff)		3	3	1	2	3	3	(3)	3
Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts*	UAMS		20	21	18	23	25	30	22	10
	COMP		8	7	7	8	7	10	9	8
	(diff)		12	14	11	15	18	20	13	2

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as UAMS. COMP = Comparison Group Institutions, n = 24 (FY07 to FY10), n = 25 (FY11), n=26 (FY12), n=24 (FY13), n=22 (FY14 and FY15), n=20 (FY16 and F17). *Investment Return and Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts were not reported prior to FY10.

Percent of Core Revenue from State Appropriations, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY09 thru FY17



Percent of Core Revenue from Government Grants and Contracts, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY09 thru FY17

