


University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences vs. IPEDS Comparison Group

Percent Distribution of Core Revenues by Source - FY2010 thru FY2018


Revenue Sources		FY 10	FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15	FY 16	FY 17	FY 18
Tuition and Fees	UAMS	7	7	6	8	9	13	15	14	14
	COMP	6	8	10	9	9	9	9	9	9
	(diff)	1	(1)	(4)	(1)	0	4	6	5	5
State Appropriations	UAMS	19	15	10	14	15	7	3	10	9
	COMP	28	28	27	27	20	20	21	19	18
	(diff)	(9)	(13)	(17)	(13)	(5)	(13)	(18)	(9)	(9)
Government Grants and Contracts	UAMS	35	36	41	33	31	29	41	32	31
	COMP	23	24	25	23	22	23	21	20	23
	(diff)	12	12	16	10	9	6	20	12	8
Other Core Revenue	UAMS	14	16	22	19	13	18	20	28	31
	COMP	15	18	18	19	23	24	22	18	17
	(diff)	(1)	(2)	4	0	(10)	(6)	(2)	10	14
Investment Return	UAMS	5	5	2	4	6	3	-2	7	5
	COMP	2	2	1	2	3	0	1	4	2
	(diff)	3	3	1	2	3	3	(3)	3	3
Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts	UAMS	20	21	18	23	25	30	22	10	10
	COMP	8	7	7	8	7	10	9	8	9
	(diff)	12	14	11	15	18	20	13	2	1

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as UAMS. COMP = Comparison Group Institutions, n = 24 (FY07 to FY10), n = 25 (FY11), n=26 (FY12), n=24 (FY13), n=22 (FY14 and FY15), n=20 (FY16 and F17), and n=21 (FY18).

Percent of Core Revenue from State Appropriations, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY10 thru FY18



Percent of Core Revenue from Government Grants and Contracts, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY10 thru FY18



Source: UAMS Budget Office and Institutional Research, Policy, and Accreditation, IPEDS 2019 Data Feedback Reports

Prepared: January 9, 2020