


University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences vs. IPEDS Comparison Group

Percent Distribution of Core Revenues by Source - FY2011 thru FY2019


Revenue Sources		FY 11	FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19
Tuition and Fees	UAMS	7	6	8	9	13	15	14	14	13
	COMP	8	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	10
	(diff)	-1	-4	-1	0	4	6	5	5	3
State Appropriations	UAMS	15	10	14	15	7	3	10	9	4
	COMP	28	27	27	20	20	21	19	18	18
	(diff)	-13	-17	-13	-5	-13	-18	-9	-9	-14
Government Grants and Contracts	UAMS	36	41	33	31	29	41	32	31	33
	COMP	24	25	23	22	23	21	20	23	22
	(diff)	12	16	10	9	6	20	12	8	11
Other Core Revenue	UAMS	16	22	19	13	18	20	28	31	38
	COMP	18	18	19	23	24	22	18	17	13
	(diff)	-2	4	0	-10	-6	-2	10	14	25
Investment Return	UAMS	5	2	4	6	3	-2	7	5	2
	COMP	2	1	2	3	0	1	4	2	3
	(diff)	3	1	2	3	3	-3	3	3	-1
Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts	UAMS	21	18	23	25	30	22	10	10	10
	COMP	7	7	8	7	10	9	8	9	11
	(diff)	14	11	15	18	20	13	2	1	-1

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as UAMS. COMP = Comparison Group Institutions, n = 25 (FY11), n=26 (FY12), n=24 (FY13), n=22 (FY14 and FY15), n=20 (FY16 and F17), and n=21 (FY18 and FY19).

Percent of Core Revenue from State Appropriations, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY11 thru FY19



Percent of Core Revenue from Government Grants and Contracts, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY11 thru FY19



Source: UAMS Budget Office and Institutional Research, Policy, and Accreditation, IPEDS 2020 Data Feedback Reports

Prepared: April 9, 2021