


University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences vs. IPEDS Comparison Group

Percent Distribution of Core Revenues by Source - FY2012 thru FY2020


Revenue Sources		FY 12	FY 13	FY 14	FY 15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
Tuition and Fees	UAMS	6	8	9	13	15	14	14	13	10
	COMP	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	11
	(diff)	-4	-1	0	4	6	5	5	3	-1
State Appropriations	UAMS	10	14	15	7	3	10	9	4	14
	COMP	27	27	20	20	21	19	18	18	19
	(diff)	-17	-13	-5	-13	-18	-9	-9	-14	-5
Government Grants and Contracts	UAMS	41	33	31	29	41	32	31	33	26
	COMP	25	23	22	23	21	20	23	22	24
	(diff)	16	10	9	6	20	12	8	11	2
Other Core Revenue	UAMS	22	19	13	18	20	28	31	38	42
	COMP	18	19	23	24	22	18	17	13	13
	(diff)	4	0	-10	-6	-2	10	14	25	29
Investment Return	UAMS	2	4	6	3	-2	7	5	2	2
	COMP	1	2	3	0	1	4	2	3	2
	(diff)	1	2	3	3	-3	3	3	-1	0
Private Gifts, Grants & Contracts	UAMS	18	23	25	30	22	10	10	10	7
	COMP	7	8	7	10	9	8	9	11	10
	(diff)	11	15	18	20	13	2	1	-1	-3

NOTE: The comparison group median is based on those members of the comparison group that report finance data using the same accounting standards as UAMS. COMP = Comparison Group Institutions, n=26 (FY12), n=24 (FY13), n=22 (FY14 and FY15), n=20 (FY16 and F17), and n=21 (FY18, FY19, and F20).

Percent of Core Revenue from State Appropriations, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY12 thru FY20



Percent of Core Revenue from Government Grants and Contracts, UAMS vs. Comparison Group - FY12 thru FY20



Source: UAMS Budget Office and Institutional Research, Policy, and Accreditation, IPEDS 2021 Data Feedback Reports

Prepared: January 12, 2022